

Carlos de la Huerga  
Serial No.: 09/832,770  
Response to Restriction Requirement  
Page 37



### Remarks

The Restriction Requirement referenced above indicated that there were several species disclosed in the patent application. Pursuant to the requirement Applicant elects herein the species associated with Figs. 59-63 which are described in paragraphs [0411] through [0462]. The list of claims readable on the elected species include claims 1-12, 14, 15, 17-29, 32-39, 41, 43-56, 60-65 and 67-153.

In addition, Applicant notes here that Applicant agrees with the Examiner that some of the independent claims in this case are generic to many of the species described in the specification. In particular, claim 1 is generic to many of the species.

Moreover, to the extent that Applicant is required to select one embodiment of the species as indicated by the Examiner, Applicant selects the embodiment described at paragraphs [0411] through [0428] that provides an alarming function when medication is to be taken.

To the extent that the election above is insufficient, Applicant requests that the Examiner call the attorney of record to verbally confirm election.

Applicant has amended the claims to eliminate an inadvertent numbering problem that was identified.

Applicant has introduced no new matter in making the above amendments. In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant believes claims 1-153 of the present application recite patentable subject matter and allowance of the same is requested. No fee in addition to the fees already authorized in this and accompanying documentation is believed to be required to enter this amendment, however, if an additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account No. 17-0055 in the amount of the fee.

Respectfully submitted,

CARLOS DE LA HUERGA

Date: 3-24-04

By: *M. Jaskolski*  
Michael A. Jaskolski  
Reg. No. 37,551  
Attorney for Applicant  
QUARLES & BRADY, LLP  
411 East Wisconsin Avenue  
Milwaukee, WI. 53202-4497  
(414) 277-5711